

# Final Verification Report

In respect of the Transaction “**Red & Black Auto Germany 11**”  
(Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH)

2 October 2024



## **Authorization of SVI as third party**

STS Verification International GmbH (“SVI”) has been authorized by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht “BaFin”, as the competent authority pursuant to Article 29 of the Securitisation Regulation) to act in all EU countries as third party pursuant to Article 28 of the Securitisation Regulation to verify compliance with the STS Criteria pursuant to Articles 18 to 26e of the Securitisation Regulation (“STS Verification”). Moreover, SVI performs additional services including the verification of compliance of securitisations with (i) Article 243 of the Capital Requirements Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2017/2401 dated 12 December 2017, amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/558 of 31 March 2021) (“CRR Assessment”), (ii) Article 270 (senior positions in STS on-balance sheet securitisations) of the CRR (“Article 270 Assessment”), (iii) Article 13 of the Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1620 on liquidity coverage requirement for credit institutions dated 13 July 2018, amending Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/61 to supplement Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council with regard to liquidity coverage requirements for Credit Institutions (“LCR”) (“LCR Assessment”), and (iv) the STS Criteria, in respect of existing securitisations and potential deficiencies regarding compliance with the STS Criteria (“Gap-Analysis”).

## **Mandating of SVI and verification steps**

On 25 June 2024, SVI has been mandated by the Originator (Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH) to verify compliance with the STS criteria in relation to non-ABCP securitisations pursuant to Articles 19 - 22 of the Securitisation Regulation for the securitisation transaction “Red & Black Auto Germany 11” (the “Transaction”).

As part of our verification work, we have met with representatives of Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH to conduct a virtual due diligence meeting on 8 July 2024. In addition, we have discussed selected aspects of the Transaction with Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH and legal counsel and obtained additional information on the transaction structure, the underwriting and servicing procedures of Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH and the underlying transaction documentation.

For the purposes of our analysis, we have reviewed the following documents and other information related to the Transaction:

- Prospectus
- German Legal Opinion
- Receivables Purchase Agreement
- Servicing Agreement
- Swap Agreement
- Agency Agreement
- Account Bank Agreement
- Cash Administration Agreement
- Corporate Administration Agreement
- Data Trust Agreement
- Trust Agreement
- Due Diligence Presentation prepared by Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
- Agreed-upon Procedures Report
- Latest version of the liability cash flow model
- Data Package received by Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
- Additional information received by e-mail, such as confirmations, comments, etc.

## Verification Methodology

The fulfilment of each verification point in this Final Verification Report provided to the Originator is evaluated based on the three fulfilment values (traffic light status):

Criterion is fully met	
Criterion is mostly met, but with comments or requests for missing information	
Criterion not (yet) met based on available information	

The verification process is based on the SVI verification manual ("Verification Manual"), defined terms of the Verification Manual shall also apply to this report. It describes the verification process and the individual inspections in detail. The Verification Manual is applicable to all parties involved in the verification process and its application ensures an objective and uniform verification of transactions to be verified. Based on the Verification Manual, SVI has derived the Transaction Verification Catalogue for this Transaction as described under Verification Method in this report. A full description of the methodology used by SVI for the Verification can be found in the Verification Manual on our website: [www.svi-gmbh.com](http://www.svi-gmbh.com).

## **Disclaimer of SVI**

SVI grants a registered verification label “verified – STS VERIFICATION INTERNATIONAL” if a securitisation complies with the requirements for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation as set out in Articles 18 to 26e of the Securitisation Regulation (“STS Requirements”). The aim of the Securitisation Regulation is to restart high-quality securitisation markets, and the intention of implementing a framework for simple, transparent and standardised transactions with corresponding STS criteria shall contribute to this. However, it should be noted that the STS verification performed by SVI does not affect the liability of an originator or special purpose vehicle in respect of their legal obligations under the Securitisation Regulation. Furthermore, the use of verification services from SVI shall not affect the obligations imposed on institutional investors as set out in Article 5 of the Securitisation Regulation. Notwithstanding confirmation by SVI, which verifies compliance of a securitisation with the STS Requirements, such verification by SVI does not ensure the compliance of a securitisation with the general requirements of the Securitisation Regulation.

SVI has carried out no other investigations or surveys in respect of the issuer or the notes concerned other than as set out in this Final Verification Report and disclaims any responsibility for monitoring the issuer’s continuing compliance with these standards or any other aspect of the issuer’s activities or operations. Furthermore, SVI has not provided any form of advisory, audit or equivalent service to the Originator, Issuer or Sponsor.

SVI is not a legal advisor and nothing in the Final Verification Report shall be regarded as legal advice in any jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the Final Verification Report is only an expression of opinion by SVI after application of its verification methodology and not a statement of fact. It is not a guarantee or warranty that ECB, any of the ESAs or national competent authorities, courts, investors or any other person will accept the STS status of the relevant securitisation. Therefore, no person should rely on the Final Verification Report in determining the STS status but must perform its own analysis and reach its own conclusions.

SVI assumes due performance of the contractual obligation thereunder by each of the parties and the representations made and warranties given in each case by any persons or parties to SVI or in any of the documents are true, not misleading and complete. SVI shall have no liability for any loss of any kind suffered by any person as a result of a securitisation where the Final Verification Report indicated that it met, in whole or in part, the STS Requirements, certain CRR or SRT requirements being held for any reason as not so meeting the relevant requirements or not being able to have lower capital allocated against it save in the case of deliberate fraud by SVI. SVI shall also not have any liability for any action taken or action from which any person has refrained from taking as a result of the Final Verification Report.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/DEFINITIONS

Note: For any other term used in this Final Verification Report in capital spelling, please refer to the defined terms in the Section “Transaction Definitions” in the Prospectus.

AuP	Agreed-upon Procedures
BaFin	Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority)
BDK	Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
CF-Model	Cash Flow-Model
Closing Date	2 October 2024
Due Diligence Presentation	Due Diligence Presentation dated July 2024
EBA	European Banking Authority
EBA Guidelines	Final Report on Guidelines on the STS criteria for non-ABCP securitisation, as published by EBA on 12 December 2018
ECB	European Central Bank
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
ESAs	European supervisory authorities (EBA, EIOPA and ESMA)
ESMA	European Securities and Markets Authority
Final Verification Report	Final Verification Report prepared by SVI in respect of the Transaction
Issuer	Red & Black Auto Germany 11 UG (haftungsbeschränkt)
LO	German Legal Opinion
Originator	Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
Prospectus	Prospectus dated 26 September 2024
Red & Black Auto Germany 11	Red & Black Auto Germany 11 UG (haftungsbeschränkt)
RPA	Receivables Purchase Agreement
RTS on Homogeneity	Commission delegated Regulation (EU) 2024/584 amending the regulatory technical standards laid down in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/1851 as regards the homogeneity of the underlying exposures in simple, transparent and standardised securitisations dated 7 November 2023

RTS on Risk Retention	Commission delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/2175 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying in greater detail the risk retention requirements for originators, sponsors, original lenders, and servicers dated 7 July 2023
Securitisation Regulation	Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/557 of 31 March 2021
Seller	Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
Servicer	Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH
SRT	Significant risk transfer
SSPE	Securitisation Special Purpose Entity or Issuer
STS Requirements	The requirements for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation in respect of a non-ABCP transaction as set out in Articles 19 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation
Third Country	A country that is not part of the Union
Transaction	The securitisation of auto loan receivables involving Red & Black Auto Germany 11 UG (haftungsbeschränkt) as Issuer
Union	The European Union or "EU"



## Reference to Article 18 of the Securitisation Regulation

The Transaction provides for a transfer of fixed rate auto loan receivables and related security rights ("Purchased Receivables") from BDK ("Originator" and "Servicer", established in Germany) to Red & Black Auto Germany 11 ("Issuer"), a registered SSPE incorporated under the Laws of Germany. The securitisation transaction will be financed by the issuance of Class A to Class E Notes.

As described above, the Originator and SSPE involved in the Transaction are established in the Union. Hence, the requirement that the originator and the SSPE involved in the Transaction are established in the EU as stipulated in Article 18 of the Securitisation Regulation, is fulfilled for the Transaction.

#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
1	Transfer of title to the underlying exposures by means of a <b>true sale</b> and <b>enforceability</b> of such true sale	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>The LO confirms the transfer of title to the underlying exposure to the SSPE through a true sale both with respect to the valid assignment of title to the Purchased Receivables and with respect to the valid security transfer of title of the Vehicles (all subject to customary qualifications).</p> <p>Furthermore, the LO confirms the legal enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer against the Seller and third parties with respect to the valid and legally binding obligations enforceable by the respective parties to the relevant German Documents in accordance with their respective terms, with respect to the valid assignment of the Purchased Receivables, with respect to the valid security transfer of title of the Vehicles and with respect to the creation of a valid, legally binding and enforceable security interest in favour of the Trustee (all subject to customary qualifications).</p> <p>The LO does not cover the Loan Agreements between the Seller and the respective Debtor as to the legality and validity. However, the RPA contains in Clause 10.2 (d) representations and warranties by the Seller as of the signing date regarding the compliance of the Purchased Receivables with the Eligibility Criteria, as stated in the Prospectus, which include under Items (i) (b) and (i) criteria concerning the legally valid, binding and enforceable nature of the Loan Agreement (which term includes by definition the general terms and conditions) under which the relevant Receivable arises, its assignability and its compliance with applicable consumer financing laws..</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
2	Requirements for the external <b>legal opinion</b>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>The LO is provided by Ashurst LLP, an internationally operating law firm with well-known expertise in the securitisation field.</p> <p>The LO comes into force at the Closing Date of the Transaction and is therefore up to date.</p> <p>The LO is made available to SVI as third-party verification agent and to competent supervisory authorities.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (2)	Verification Report
3	Specification of <b>severe claw-back provisions</b> : Are there any provisions in the respective national insolvency law, which could allow the insolvency administrator to invalidate the transfer of the underlying exposures?	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal</p> <p>The LO contains standard insolvency related qualifications under German law. Those are mitigated by representations and warranties provided by the Seller which could be considered standard for this kind of transaction, see Clause 10.1 of the RPA, in particular Items (g) and (i).</p> <p>Under applicable German insolvency law in respect of a transfer within certain time periods prior to and after the filing of insolvency proceedings the SSPE must demonstrate that it had no knowledge of the Seller's insolvency.</p> <p>To mitigate against this, Clause 10.1, Items (i) and (j) of the RPA provides for representations and warranties of the Seller as of the signing date to the effect that it is not insolvent, and no steps have been taken or are intended by itself or (to its knowledge) by any other person for its insolvency. In addition, the Seller has to provide to the Lead Manager and the Issuer under Clause 13.1, Item (j) of the Subscription Agreement a solvency certificate, dated the Closing Date as a condition precedent for the subscription of the Notes. Such representation and warranty on the signing date and the provision of the solvency certificate to the Lead Manager on the Closing Date may be used by the SSPE to demonstrate its non-knowledge of the Seller's insolvency.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (3)	Verification Report
4	Clarification that certain provisions in the national insolvency laws <b>do not constitute severe claw-back provisions</b>	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal</p> <p>Applicable German insolvency laws are considered not to represent any severe claw-back risks within the meaning of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (4)	Verification Report
5	If the sale and transfer is not taking place directly between the seller and the SSPE but <b>intermediate sales</b> take place, is the true sale still fulfilled?	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal</p> <p>Under the Transaction structure used by Red &amp; Black Auto Germany 11, the sale and transfer take place directly between the Seller (who is the original lender) and the SSPE acting as Issuer, i.e. without any intermediate sale taking place, please refer to Clause 2 "Purchase of receivables" of the RPA.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (5)	Verification Report
6	If the <b>transfer of receivables and the perfection take place at a later stage</b> , are the trigger events in relation to the seller's credit quality standing sufficiently defined?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The transfer of the underlying exposures will occur on the Closing Date of the Transaction (scheduled for 2 October 2024), i.e. there will be no transfer of receivables at a later stage, see Clause 2 "Purchase of receivables" of the RPA. As confirmed in the LO, the assignment on the Closing Date to the Issuer are legal, valid, binding and enforceable, which is in our view sufficient to conclude that the assignment is perfected. Hence, the transfer of the Receivables is perfected on the Closing Date and the perfection of the transfer will not take place at a later stage.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (6)	Verification Report
7	<b>Representations and warranties</b> of the seller regarding to the legal condition of the underlying exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The Seller (who is the original lender) warrants that the underlying Purchased Receivables are legally valid, binding and enforceable Loan Agreements and that, to the best of its knowledge, the Purchased Receivables are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, see Clause 10.2, Item (c) and (d) of the RPA in connection with Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Items (i) (b) and (iii) (a) of the Prospectus and above under #3.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
8	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria (' <b>eligibility criteria</b> ') (I/II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The underlying exposures transferred from the Seller to the SSPE are selected according to predetermined, clear and documented Eligibility Criteria, see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The Transaction is amortising and does not feature a revolving period (see Clause 2 "Purchase of receivables" and Clause 4 "Assignment of receivables" of the RPA).</p> <p>There are no exposures that will be transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the Transaction (see #6).</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
9	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria ('eligibility criteria') (II / II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Data</p> <p>The asset audit, whereby the audit company performs certain Agreed-upon Procedures with respect to the compliance of the underlying exposures in a randomly selected sample, covers the key Eligibility Criteria specified for the Transaction. Please also refer to #40 for a summary of the scope of the asset audit.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
10	No active portfolio management	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The Receivables which comply with the Eligibility Criteria on the Cut-Off Date will be randomly selected from the Originator's portfolio of eligible Receivables, see Clause 10 "Representations and warranties of the originator", Subclause 10.2, Item (e) of the RPA.</p> <p>Under the RPA, a Repurchase or Retransfer of Receivables by or to the Originator may takes place under the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-Eligible Receivables: In case a Purchased Receivable did not fulfil the Eligibility Criteria in whole or in part on the Cut-Off Date, and either such breach of the Eligibility Criteria has been published in a Servicer Report or the Originator has otherwise obtained knowledge of such breach, the Originator may (at its sole discretion) remedy any non-compliance with the Eligibility Criteria at no cost to the Issuer so that, following such remedy, the relevant Purchased Receivable meets the Eligibility Criteria. If such remedy is not possible or not made within ten Business Days after (i) the related breach has been published in a Servicer Report or (ii) the Originator has otherwise obtained knowledge thereof, the Originator will repurchase (in whole but not in part) each such Non-Eligible Receivable (including the Related Claims and Rights) at the Repurchase Price. In this respect, the relevant Repurchase Price payable by the Originator to the Issuer has to be equal to the Outstanding Principal Amount of such Purchased Receivable, see Clause 11 "Obligations of the originator in case of non-eligible receivables", Subclause 11.1 of the RPA in connection with the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS", Paragraph "Repurchase Price" in the Prospectus. There will, however, be no substitution of the ineligible receivable with a new receivable.</li> <li>• Deemed Collections: The Originator shall pay any Deemed Collections to the Issuer's Operating Account, provided that the Originator has not repurchased the relevant Loan Receivable in accordance with Clause 11 of the RPA (see above). Against (Zug um Zug) receipt by the Issuer of a Deemed Collection from the Originator with discharging effect (<i>Erfüllungswirkung</i>) the Issuer shall re-assign or re-transfer, as relevant (if and to the extent legally possible, in whole if the Deemed Collection equals the amount owed under the relevant Receivable, or pro rata in the amount of the Deemed Collection), the relevant Receivable, the related existing Related Claims and Rights and the Related Collateral to the Originator at the Originator's cost,</li> </ul>

		see Clause 12 "Deemed collections", Subclauses 12.1 "Payment of Deemed Collections" and 12.2 "Retransfer of Purchased Receivables and Related Collateral" Item (a) of the RPA.
		In addition, the Transaction features a Clean-Up Call Option for the Originator in case a Clean-Up Call Event occurs. The Originator may on a Payment Date upon at least five Business Days prior written notice to the Issuer repurchase the entire Portfolio, if the Aggregate Outstanding Portfolio Principal Amount represents less than 10% of the Aggregate Outstanding Portfolio Principal Amount as at the Cut-Off Date (see Section 13 "Repurchase options of the originator", Subclause 13.1, Item (a) in connection with "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS", Paragraph "Clean-Up Call Event" of the Prospectus).
		The above-described instances that allow for a repurchase of underlying exposures due to a breach of any of the loan warranties or the Clean-Up Call option are part of the individual techniques listed in the EBA Guidelines that should not be considered active portfolio management (i. e. breach of representation or warranties and clean-up call option). No further substitution or repurchase features are included in the RPA.
		Generally, the above described repurchase mechanism used in the Transaction (a) does not make the performance of the securitisation dependent on both the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management, and (b) is not performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield or other purely financial or economic benefit.
		As a result of the above, the criterion "no active portfolio management" is fulfilled.

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
11	Securitisation of a <b>homogeneous</b> portfolio in terms of asset type	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The underlying exposures fall into the asset type according to Art. 1 (a) (v) of the RTS on Homogeneity (i.e. auto loans and leases), see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria" Item (i) (a) in connection with the Definition of "Loan Agreement" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The Originator has chosen the homogeneity factor according to Art. 2 (4.) (b) of RTS on Homogeneity, i.e. jurisdiction, whereby the pool shall consist of underlying exposures relating to Obligors with residence in one jurisdiction (Germany) only, see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (ii) (a) of the Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
12	Securitisation of a homogeneous portfolio in terms of underwriting and servicing	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence</p> <p>The underlying exposures have been originated in accordance with consistent underwriting standards, as presented in the Due Diligence and further described in #17 and #18, see also Section "CREDIT AND COLLECTION POLICY" in the Prospectus. No distinction is made between securitised and securitised and non-securitised receivables. The processes assure that only Debtors resident in Germany are originated according to the underwriting policy.</p> <p>The same applies to the servicing policy, with the underlying exposures being serviced using consistent standards and no distinction being made between securitised and non-securitised receivables. Please also refer to #35 and #36 for more details on the servicing procedures.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
13	Securitisation of a homogeneous portfolio in terms of homogeneity factor	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Data</p> <p>The homogeneity factor "residence in Germany" is, through the check of the data field "Geographical Location of the Obligor" and through the check of the specific Eligibility Criteria "the Debtor of such receivable has its registered office or is resident in the Federal Republic of Germany (to the best knowledge of the Originator)" part of the Pool Data &amp; Eligibility Criteria Verification as further described in #40. The Loan Agreements have been entered into exclusively with Debtors having their registered office in Germany or resident in Germany, see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (ii) (a) of the Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
14	The underlying exposures contain <b>obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable</b>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>In Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (i) (b) of the Prospectus it is stated that the Receivables derive from Loan Agreements which constitute legal valid, binding and enforceable obligations of the respective Debtor. Furthermore, the Seller warrants that each of the Receivables complies with the Eligibility Criteria on the Cut-Off Date, see Clause 10 "Representations and warranties of the originator", Subclause 10.2, Item (d) of the RPA. Please also refer to #1.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
15	The underlying exposures have <b>defined periodic payment streams</b> and do not include <b>transferable securities</b> other than unlisted corporate bonds	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>The underlying exposures for the Transaction represent standard auto loan agreements originated by BDK with private customers. For the purposes of the Transaction, three contract types form part of the securitised portfolio:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Loan type "Classic Financing" with linear (i.e. fully amortising with equal instalments) form of financing, and</li> <li>2. loan type "Balloon Financing" with equal instalments and a balloon payment at the end of term, as well as</li> <li>3. loan type "3-Way-Financing" with equal instalments, a balloon payment at the end of term and an additional put option with the dealer in respect to the vehicle. The Debtor is under all circumstances obliged to repay the 3-Way Financing loan.</li> </ol> <p>Apart from these variations, the three loan types do not differ structurally in terms of payment streams (with the exception of the final instalment), as discussed and verified in the Due Diligence.</p> <p>As presented during the Due Diligence, the underlying exposures have defined periodic payment streams relating to principal, interest and insurance-related payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The Loan Receivables derive from Loan Agreements which provide for regular monthly instalments resulting in full amortisation and/or regular monthly instalments plus one higher balloon instalment at the end of the contract term. The amortisation occurs on a monthly basis and results in monthly instalment payments consisting of principal and interest. Please also refer to Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (i) (f) of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Receivables which derive from Loan Agreements between the Originator in its capacity as lender and a Debtor in relation to the financing of any Vehicle which may include the Originator's standard business terms, thereby eliminating any transferable securities from the portfolio, see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (i) in connection with the Definition of "Loan Agreement" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus. The compliance of the provisional pool with the Eligibility Criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40).</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (9)	Verification Report
16	Are there any <b>securitisation positions</b> in the portfolio?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>The Eligibility Criteria restrict the Purchased Receivables to Receivables which derive from Loan Agreements, thereby assuring that no securitisation position may become part of the portfolio, please also refer to #15. The compliance of the provisional pool with the Eligibility Criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40).</p>



	As demonstrated during the Due Diligence, the origination and/or resale of securitisation positions is not part of the business model of the Originator and not permitted under the Originators' underwriting policy.
--	---

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
17	<b>Origination of underlying exposures in the ordinary course of business</b> of the originator or the original lender	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH is one of the largest non-captive car financing bank in Germany, active in Germany since 1999. Organisation and business processes have been developed over decades. BDK is subject to the supervision of the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (<i>Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungs-aufsicht</i>) in accordance with the German Banking Act (<i>Kreditwesengesetz</i>) (please also refer to the website of BDK: <a href="https://www.bdk-bank.de/unternehmen/impressum/">https://www.bdk-bank.de/unternehmen/impressum/</a> and to Section "THE ORIGINATOR / SERVICER / LENDER" of the Prospectus). As presented in the Due Diligence, the organisational structure of BDK's business procedures is in line with the volume and quantity of business transactions. BDK co-operate actively with a large number of car dealers in the auto loan business.</p> <p>BDK's business procedures assure that securitised exposures have been originated in the ordinary course of business and in accordance with uniform standards, as reflected in the Credit and Collection Policy, see in this regard Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (i) (c) of the Prospectus. Deviations from the Credit and Collection Policy are only permissible in well-defined and documented instances, as discussed in the Due Diligence. The Receivables have been randomly selected from the Originator's portfolio of eligible Receivables, see Clause 10 "Representations and warranties of the originator", Subclause 10.2, Item (e) of the RPA.</p> <p>The underlying exposures are similar to the non-securitised loan agreements in the asset type "auto loans and leases" (see the definition of "similar exposures" in item 22 (a) in the EBA Guidelines) due to the random selection process.</p> <p>Since no exposures will be transferred to the Issuer after closing (static portfolio), no obligation to disclose material changes to the underwriting policy after the closing of the Transaction applies. Furthermore, the Servicer shall only be entitled to make any material change to its administrative and operating procedures existing as at the date hereof in relation to the keeping and maintaining of such records in relation to Purchased Receivables and the Related Collateral upon obtaining prior written consent of the Issuer, such consent not to be withheld unreasonably, see Clause 7.3(d) of the Servicing Agreement.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
18	<b>Underwriting standards</b> for securitised exposures are no less stringent than those applied to similar non-securitised exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence</p> <p>As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, no distinction is made between securitised and non-securitised exposures in any respect, be it applicable regulatory standards, involvement of decision-makers, distribution channels, product types and product characteristics, annual agreements on (sales) objectives, lending standards, approval processes, credit processing, dunning procedures, debt collection, realisation of collateral, customer service, underwriting and servicing activities or areas of risk controlling and reporting (except for the required reporting of ABS transactions).</p> <p>Employees of the Originator or sales staff of loan brokers involved in the underwriting do not know whether a risk position currently being processed for application will be securitised at a later stage or not.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
19	Where the underlying exposures are <b>residential mortgage loans</b> , does the portfolio include <b>loans that have been self-certified</b> by the loan applicants?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Receivables under auto loan agreements – therefore, residential mortgage loans do not form part of the portfolio.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
20	<b>Assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness performed in accordance with certain EU Directives</b> on credit agreements for consumers or on credit agreements for consumers relating to residential immovable property or, if applicable, the analogous provisions of a third country	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory / Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>BDK is a financial institution (<i>Kreditinstitut</i>) according to § 1 German Banking Act. As such, the Originator is supervised by BaFin as competent national supervisory authority. BDK performs the assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness with respect to loan agreements with consumers in accordance with Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC by using – among others – personal data, income, employment, experience from previous business relationship, car related data and credit bureau information as main criteria for the credit assessment. In case of the amendment of the loan agreement in accordance with Article 8, Item 2 of Directive 2008/48/EC, a new credit assessment is executed. The credit assessment process was discussed during the Due Diligence and is shown in detail in the Due Diligence Presentation.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
21	<b>Originator's experience</b> (as an entity or through management and senior staff) in origination of similar risk positions	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Regulatory / Due Diligence</p> <p>BDK started to build up its own credit portfolio in 2003. The business model of financing auto loans did not change substantially since then. Hence, as an institution, the Originator does have at least 5 years of experience in origination and underwriting of exposures like those securitised. The relevant information is published in Section "THE ORIGINATOR / SERVICER / LENDER" of the Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
22	The underlying exposures are <b>transferred without undue delay</b> after selection	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The date of the final pool cut is 31 August 2024. Transfer of the final pool will occur at closing (scheduled for 2 October 2024), i.e. without undue delay.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
23	The underlying exposures do not include <b>any defaulted exposures</b> or to <b>defaulted debtors/guarantors with impaired creditworthiness</b>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory / Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>The Originator is an institution subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013. The Loan Receivables are transferred to the Issuer after selection without undue delay (see Clauses 2 to 4 of the RPA) and do not include, at the time of selection and to the best of the Originator's knowledge, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (iii) (k) of the Prospectus).</p> <p>Furthermore, the underlying exposures were not, as at the Cut-Off Date, exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor who, to the best of the Originator's knowledge, (A) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within 3 years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within 3 years prior to the Closing Date; (B) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history, or where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the Originator; or (C) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the Originator which are not securitised (see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (iii) (k) of the Prospectus).</p>

		<p>The Originator represents, with regards to the question which sources of information it has used to identify defaulted exposures and to determine if an Obligor or guarantor is credit-impaired, that it has obtained information (1) from the Obligor on origination of the exposures, (2) in the course of BDK's servicing of the exposures or BDK's risk management procedures, or (3) from a third party, see Section "CREDIT AND COLLECTION POLICY" of the Prospectus. This is in line with the 'best knowledge' standard stipulated in the EBA Guidelines.</p>
		<p>The Originator has IT systems in place to ensure that defaulted exposures or exposures to debtors/guarantors with impaired creditworthiness are excluded from the provisional or final pool cut.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
24	The risk positions do not have a <b>credit assessment or a credit score</b> that allows a significantly higher default risk to be expected than for non-securitised risk positions	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence</p> <p>The most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio are the profiles of the private customers, credit agencies' information and financial information as well as past payment behaviour. All of these factors have an impact on the credit score.</p> <p>These factors are the same for securitised and non-securitised exposures due to the random selection process.</p> <p>On this basis, it can be reasonably assumed that – in comparison to non-securitised exposures – no worse performance should occur for securitised exposures for the term of the Transaction.</p> <p>The requirement that the underlying exposures do not have a "credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable receivables held by the Originator which are not securitised" is considered to be met as the underlying exposures do not include (i) exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or similar, or (ii) exposures whose credit quality (based on credit ratings or other credit quality thresholds) significantly differs from the quality of other exposures ordinarily originated by the Originator.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (12)	Verification Report
25	At the time of the transfer, the <b>debtor has paid at least one instalment</b>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Data</p> <p>The Originator warrants that the Debtor of a Receivable has paid at least one instalment in full in respect of each relevant Receivable, see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (ii)(b) of the Prospectus.</p>

		The asset audit, whereby the audit company performs certain Agreed-upon Procedures with respect to the compliance of the underlying exposures in a randomly selected sample (please also refer to #40, Article 22 (2)), covers the above-mentioned Eligibility Criterion.
--	--	---

#	Criterion Article 20 (13)	Verification Report
26	The repayment of the securitisation position should <b>not be predominantly dependent on the sale of assets</b> securing the underlying exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, the Transaction has been structured to not be predominantly dependent on the sale of the cars or other assets securing the Purchased Receivables. The repayment is entirely linked to the repayment of the Performing Receivables; the repayment of the Performing Receivables in turn is not contingent and does not depend on the sale of the vehicles which serve as collateral for the Purchased Receivables. As demonstrated during the Due Diligence, the Originator's underwriting focuses on the creditworthiness of its debtors rather than on the recoveries derived from the sale of the cars or other assets securing the Purchased Receivables in the case of default.</p> <p>In addition, the timing of the maturities of the underlying exposures mentioned above are not subject to material concentrations and the value of the underlying exposures mentioned above per single Debtor does not exceed 2% of the aggregated receivables balance, see in this regard table "Concentration of Top 20 Debtors" in Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO" of the Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (1)	Verification Report
27	<b>Risk retention</b> (Art. 6.1 of the Securitisation Regulation), usually by the Originator	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>BDK as the Originator acts as retention holder according to Article 6 of the Securitisation Regulation and will retain on an ongoing basis a material net economic interest of not less than 5% in the Transaction, see Section "RISK RETENTION", Subsection "THE EU RISK RETENTION AND EU TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS", Paragraph "EU Risk Retention Requirements" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>In accordance with Article 6(3)(c) of Securitisation Regulation and as specified in more detail in Article 8 of the RTS on Risk Retention, BDK (as Retention Holder) will retain, in its capacity as Originator within the meaning of the Securitisation Regulation, on an ongoing basis for the life of the Transaction, a material net economic interest of not less than 5% in relation to the Transaction, see Section "RISK RETENTION", Subsection "THE EU RISK RETENTION AND EU TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS", Paragraph "EU Risk Retention Requirements" of the Prospectus. The Originator will retain, on an ongoing basis until the earlier of</p>

	<p>the redemption of the Class A Notes, the Class B Notes, the Class C Notes and the Class D Notes in full and the Final Maturity Date, an interest in randomly selected similar exposures.</p> <p>Information and thereof confirmation regarding the continued holding of the risk retention by the Originator will be set out in an Investor Report (at least quarterly), as confirmed by the Originator (see Section "RISK RETENTION", Subsection "THE EU RISK RETENTION AND EU TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS", Paragraph "EU Transparency Requirements", Item (d) (iii) of the Prospectus).</p> <p>The Originator confirms to hold the risk retention on an ongoing basis in accordance with Article 6 of the Securitisation Regulation, see Section "THE EU RISK RETENTION AND EU TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS", Paragraph "EU Risk Retention Requirements" of the Prospectus.</p>
--	--

#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
28	<b>Appropriate hedging</b> of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (I / II)	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>Since the Receivables in the Portfolio are fixed rate and the Class A to Class D Notes are floating rate (while the Class E Notes are fixed rate), interest rate risks arise from such mismatch. Both, assets and liabilities of the Issuer are EUR denominated hence no currency risk occurs (see general information in the Prospectus).</p> <p>The Purchased Receivables bear interest at fixed rates while the Class A to Class D Notes will bear interest at floating rates based on 1-M-EURIBOR. Interest rate risks for the Class A to Class D Notes are hedged appropriately with a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap. Under the Swap, the Issuer undertakes to pay to the Swap Counterparty on each Payment Date a fixed rate equal to the product of (i) the relevant Swap Notional Amount, (ii) the relevant Swap Fix Rate and (iii) the Day Count Fraction. In return, the Swap Counterparty undertakes to pay to the Issuer on each Payment Date a floating rate equal to the product of (i) the relevant Swap Notional Amount, (ii) EURIBOR and (iii) the Day Count Fraction. The amount to be paid by the Issuer to the Swap Counterparty under the Swap is netted with the amount due by the Swap Counterparty to the Issuer under the Swap (see Section "OVERVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Subsection "The Swap").</p> <p>No further risks in addition to interest rate risks are hedged under the Swap Agreement.</p> <p>The securitised portfolio only includes Receivables which are originated under a Loan Agreement. Therefore, the securitised portfolio does not include derivatives (see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "THE ASSETS AND RESERVES", Paragraph "Eligibility Criteria", Item (i) in connection with the Definition of "Loan Agreement" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus).</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
29	Appropriate hedging of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (II / II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The legal instrument used by the Issuer to hedge interest rate risks is the Swap Agreement for the Class A to Class D Notes, see in this regard Section "OVERVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Subsection "The Swap" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The agreement considers any potential asset liability mismatch by referencing to the outstanding notes balance, and the agreement is based on the ISDA Master Agreement as established market standard, see Section "OVERVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Subsection "The Swap" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The requirements for eligible swap counterparties are market standard in international finance (e. g. obligation to post collateral or provide guarantees when hitting certain rating triggers), see Section "OVERVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Subsection "The Swap" of the Prospectus or the Swap Agreement.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (3)	Verification Report
30	Generally used <b>reference rates</b> for interest payments	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>No reference rates apply to the Purchased Receivables which bear fixed interest rates.</p> <p>The Class A – Class D Notes will bear interest at floating rates based on 1-M-EURIBOR, see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 4.2 "Interest Rates" of the Prospectus as well as the Definition of "EURIBOR" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" in the Prospectus, constituting a market standard reference rate.</p> <p>No reference rates apply to the Transaction Accounts. The interest for the Transaction Accounts will be based on €STR.</p> <p>The interest rate hedge (the Swap) is based on fixed rates and floating rates based on 1-M-EURIBOR. Currency hedges are not provided for in the Transaction structure.</p> <p>Alternative Benchmarks are included in the Prospectus which may be applied if the EURIBOR ceased to be an eligible interbank rate (see Clause 24 "Base Rate Modification" of the Trust Agreement).</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (4)	Verification Report
31	<b>Requirements in the event of an enforcement</b> or delivery of an acceleration notice	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>After the Enforcement Conditions have been fulfilled the Priority of Payments will change from "Pre-Enforcement Principal Priority of Payments" to "Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments", please refer to the Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments", Paragraphs 9.1 "Pre-Enforcement Interest Priority of Payments", 9.2 "Pre-Enforcement Principal Priority of Payments" and 9.3 "Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments" of the Prospectus. The following conditions will apply following the Enforcement Conditions have been fulfilled according to the Transaction Documents:</p> <p>a) No cash will be retained with the Issuer. Transaction gains only arise after fulfilling all obligations against the Noteholder and other liabilities and are paid out to the shareholders of the Issuer. See Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments", Paragraph 9.3 "Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments" of the Prospectus. There will be a difference between the Purchase Price and the Aggregate Outstanding Note Principal Amount of Class A to Class E Notes, which will remain on the accounts of the Issuer. This amount will be considered in the Pre-Enforcement Available Distribution Amount on the first Payment Date. Hence, it will be not retained in the SSPE, see Section "USE OF PROCEEDS" in the Prospectus.</p> <p>b) The principal receipts from the underlying exposures will be used for the fully sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position, see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments", Paragraph 9.3 "Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments", Items (g), (i), (k), (m), (o) of the Prospectus.</p> <p>c) Interest and principal payments are first made for the Class A Notes and then sequentially for the subsequent Class B-E Notes. Hence, repayments are not reversed with regard to their seniority, see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments", Paragraph 9.3 "Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments" the Prospectus.</p> <p>d) No automatic liquidation or sale of risk positions or assets is provided for.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (5)	Verification Report
32	<b>Sequential repayment as fall-back</b> in the event of a deterioration in portfolio quality for Transactions that feature a <b>non-</b>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The Transaction amortises on a sequential basis until a Pro Rata Trigger Event occurs. A Pro Rata Trigger Event occurs on a Payment Date if the credit enhancement of the Class A Notes calculated as the difference of 1 minus the Aggregate Outstanding Note Principal Amount of the Class A Notes as of the previous Payment Date divided by the Aggregate Outstanding Portfolio Principal Amount as of the Determination Date relating to the previous Payment Date is equal to or more than 8.0%, provided</p>



	<p><b>sequential priority of payments</b></p>	<p>that no Sequential Payment Trigger Event has occurred before such Payment Date (see the Definition of "Pro Rata Trigger Event" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus). Following a Pro-Rata Trigger Event, the amortisation of the Class A - Class D Notes switches to pro rata (see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9.2 "Pre-Enforcement Principal Priority of Payments", Paragraph "After the occurrence of a Pro Rata Trigger Event but before the occurrence of a Sequential Payment Trigger Event" of the Prospectus) until a Sequential Payment Trigger Event occurs. Such trigger occurs on the earlier of (i) the Payment Date on which the Cumulative Net Loss Ratio is greater than 1.50% or (ii) the Payment Date on which the Principal Deficiency Sub-Ledgers are debited with an amount equal to or higher than 1.0% of the Aggregate Outstanding Note Principal Amount of the Notes as of the Closing Date or (iii) the Payment Date on which the Aggregate Outstanding Portfolio Principal Amount is less than 10% of the initial Aggregate Outstanding Portfolio Principal Amount on the Cut-Off Date (see Definition of "Sequential Payment Trigger Event" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus).</p> <p>Upon occurrence of a Sequential Payment Trigger Event the amortisation switches back to sequential (see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9.2 "Pre-Enforcement Principal Priority of Payments", Paragraph "Prior to the occurrence of a Pro Rata Trigger Event; or after the occurrence of a Sequential Payment Trigger Event; or on a Clean-up Call Early Redemption Date; or on an Illegality and Tax Call Early Redemption Date; or after a Regulatory Call Early Redemption Date" of the Prospectus). The occurrence of a Sequential Payment Trigger Event is not reversible, see the definitions of "Sequential Payment Trigger Event" and "Pro-Rata Trigger Event" in Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" as well as Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments" in the Prospectus. The Sequential Payment Trigger is a performance-based trigger, as it considers at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures through the Cumulative Net Loss Ratio with a threshold of 1.50%. As a result of the above, the amortisation mechanism complies with Article 21 (5) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>
--	---	--

#	Criterion Article 21 (6)	Verification Report
33	<p><b>Early amortisation provisions</b> or triggers for termination of the revolving phase to include at least the following:</p> <p>a) deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a predefined threshold</p>	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal</p> <p>The requirements in relation to the early amortisation provisions do not apply to the Transaction as the Transaction does not feature a revolving period.</p> <p>Not applicable.</p>

	b) insolvency-related events in relation to the Originator or the Servicer	Not applicable.
	c) decline in value of the underlying exposures below a pre-defined threshold	Not applicable.
	d) failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures for replenishments under revolving Transactions	Not applicable.

#	Criterion Article 21 (7)	Verification Report
34	<b>Clear rules</b> in the Transaction documentation regarding obligations, tasks and responsibilities of the Servicer, trustees and other ancillary service providers	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal</p> <p>The Servicing Agreement provides for a clear specification of the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer, especially with regard to the servicing, monitoring, reporting and monthly advances to mitigate for commingling risk, as well as the provisions for a potential replacement in case of a Servicer Termination Event, see Clauses 5 "Services, Further Duties of the Servicer", 17 "Substitute Servicer Facilitator" and 18 "Term, Termination" of the Servicing Agreement.</p> <p>Similar provisions for the obligations, duties and responsibilities are provided for the following parties, see the respective descriptions in the Prospectus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trustee (see Clause 6 "Trustee Services, Limitations" of the Trust Agreement);</li> <li>• Cash Administrator (see Clause 3 "Cash Administration Services; further duties of the Cash Administrator" of the Cash Administration Agreement);</li> <li>• Interest Determination Agent &amp; Paying Agent (see Clauses 4 "Authentication, Form and Delivery of the Global Notes" and 5 "Obligations under the Terms and Conditions" of the Agency Agreement);</li> <li>• Account Bank (see Clause 5 "Duties of the Account Bank" of the Account Bank Agreement);</li> <li>• Data Trustee (see Clause 3 "Data Trustee Services; Further Duties of the Data Trustee" of the Data Trust Agreement);</li> <li>• Corporate Administrator (see Clause 3 "Services" of the Corporate Administration Agreement);</li> <li>• Swap Counterparty (see Section "OVERVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Subsection "The Swap" of the Prospectus or please refer to the Swap Agreement).</li> </ul> <p>The Servicer is replaced in case of a Servicer Termination Event, which is triggered by the insolvency or default of the Servicer (see Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS", Subsection "Servicer Termination Event" of the Prospectus). In case of such event,</p>

	<p>the Corporate Administrator replaces the Servicer within 90 days or notify the Rating Agencies otherwise (see Clause 4.2 "Substitute Servicer Facilitator" of the Corporate Administrator Agreement).</p> <p>The Transaction documentation specifies clearly provisions that ensure the replacement of the Swap Counterparty and the Account Bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In respect of the Account Bank provisions exist for its replacement if the Account Bank does not meet the requirements for the "Required Rating" as set out in Clause 10 "Exchange of Account Bank upon Downgrade Event" of the Account Bank Agreement in connection with the Definitions of "Required Rating" and "Downgrade Event" in Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus.</li> <li>In respect of the Swap Counterparty provisions exist for its replacement in case of a rating downgrade under certain thresholds, see Part 7 "Downgrade Provisions; Modifications to Close-out Provisions" of the Swap Agreement.</li> </ul>
--	---

#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
35	<b>Experience of the Servicer</b> (management and senior staff) in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Regulatory / Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH is a financial institution (<i>Kreditinstitut</i>) according to § 1 German Banking Act. As such, the Originator is supervised by BaFin as competent national supervisory authority.</p> <p>The Prospectus contains information on the experience of BDK as a servicer, see Section "THE ORIGINATOR / SERVICER / LENDER" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>The experience and expertise of the management and the senior staff has been confirmed in Section "CREDIT AND COLLECTION POLICY" of the Prospectus.</p> <p>As a result, BDK as the Servicer is deemed to have the relevant expertise as an entity being active as servicer of loan receivables and as servicer of loan receivables securitisations for more than five years, and no contrary findings were observed in the Due Diligence.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
36	Appropriate and well documented <b>risk management and service policies</b> , procedures and controls in place at the Servicer	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Regulatory / Due Diligence</p> <p>As a result of the regulatory status (see #35 above), BDK has well established procedures with regard to risk management, servicing and internal control systems in place, and no contrary findings were observed in the Due Diligence.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (9)	Verification Report
37	<p>Clear and coherent definitions, regulations and possible measures with regard to the <b>servicing of non-performing exposures</b>, specification of the <b>priorities of payment</b></p>	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Credit and Collection Policy of BDK (see Section "CREDIT AND COLLECTION POLICY" of the Prospectus) which must be complied in respect of the servicing of the Loan Receivables and the Loan Collateral by the Servicer in accordance with the Servicing Agreement contains a description of procedures related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service Center Acceptance (incl. a detailed description of the loan application process)</li> <li>• Service Center – Collections (incl. a detailed description of the processes with regard to the servicing of non-performing exposures)</li> <li>• Used Car Sales Department (incl. a detailed description of the collection and sale of vehicles from terminated loan agreements)</li> <li>• Internal Audit</li> <li>• Auditors</li> </ul> <p>The default definition used in the Transaction Documents refers to the term "Defaulted Receivable" which means a Receivable in respect of which the Servicer has terminated the related Loan Agreement in accordance with the Credit and Collection Policy of the Servicer, see definition of "Defaulted Receivable" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" and Section "CREDIT AND COLLECTION POLICY" of the Prospectus. According to BDK's loan conditions and the German law a loan agreement may be terminated by BDK if the following is true.</p> <p>(a) For private Debtors with a contract term up to 36 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• two consecutive instalments are not paid in full or in part and the total past due amount is at least equal to 10% of the nominal amount of the loan (i.e. net loan amount plus cost also covered by the loan); and</li> <li>• the private Debtor was granted a term to pay together with the notification that after the lapse of this term the entire loan amount will be due for repayment.</li> </ul> <p>(b) For private Debtors with a contract term more than 36 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• two consecutive instalments are not paid in full or in part and the total past due amount is at least equal to 5% of the nominal amount of the loan (i.e. net loan amount plus cost also covered by the loan), and</li> <li>• the private Debtor was granted a term to pay together with the notification that after the lapse of this term the entire loan amount will be due for repayment.</li> </ul> <p>This definition is consistently used in the Prospectus.</p> <p>The Transaction Documents clearly specifies the Priorities of payments (Pre-Enforcement Interest Priority of Payments, Pre-Enforcement Principal Priority of Payments and Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments), see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection 9 "Priorities of Payments" of the Prospectus, and the events which trigger changes in such Priorities of</p>

	<p>Payments, see the definitions of "Pro Rata Trigger Event", "Sequential Payment Trigger Event" and "Issuer Event of Default" in Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus together with #31 and #32.</p> <p>The obligation of the Issuer to report the events of Early Redemption for Default or of Repurchase upon occurrence of an Illegality and Tax Call Event or a Clean-Up Call Event (see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsections 11 "Early Redemption for Default" and 12 "Early Redemption – Illegality and Tax Call Event and Clean-Up Call Event" of the Prospectus) to the Noteholders without undue delay is clearly documented in the Prospectus, see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsections 15 "Investor Notifications" and 16 "Form of Notices" in the Prospectus.</p> <p>Pro Rata Trigger Events or Sequential Payment Trigger Events are not reported with an ad-hoc notification to the Noteholders since they do not constitute an event of default. However, both trigger events only can occur on a Payment Date (see, Definitions of "Pro Rata Trigger Event" and "Sequential Payment Trigger Event" in the Section "TRANSACTION DEFINITIONS" of the Prospectus). Hence, they are reported within the regular Investor Reports (see Section "RISK RETENTION", Subsection "EU Transparency Requirements", Item (d) (ii)) granting the notification of the investors without undue delay).</p>
--	--

#	Criterion Article 21 (10)	Verification Report
38	<p><b>Clear rules in the event of conflicts</b> between the different classes of noteholders</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory / Legal</p> <p>The Notes are issued on the basis of the German Bonds Act (<i>Schuldverschreibungsgesetz</i>), see Section "OVERVIEW", Subsection "Resolutions of Noteholders" as well as Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Subsection "19 Resolutions of Noteholders" of the Prospectus, enabling the Noteholders to take resolutions within one class of notes. In addition, the Trust Agreement provides for clear instructions for the trustee as regards the treatment of the interests of different classes of notes and their ranking in line with the applicable Priorities of Payments (see Clause 4 "Conflict of Interest" of the Trust Agreement).</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (1)	Verification Report
39	<p>Provision of <b>historical performance data</b> before pricing</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>The historical performance data provided by the Originator include the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <b>Gross defaults</b> (i.e. losses before recoveries) in static format (covering the period from Q1 2014 until Q1 2024 for the total BDK retail private customer loan portfolio.</li> <li>b) <b>Recoveries</b> in static format (covering the period from Q1 2014 until Q1 2024), for the total BDK retail private customer loan portfolio.</li> </ul>

	<p>c) <b>Delinquencies</b> measured as monthly delinquency rates in the ageing buckets 1-30, 31-60, 61-90 and 90+ past due days (covering the period from January 2014 until March 2024), for the total BDK retail private customer loan portfolio.</p> <p>d) <b>Annualised prepayments</b> measured as annual prepayment rates (calculated per month, covering the period from January 2014 until March 2024), for the total BDK retail private customer loan portfolio.</p>
	<p>The data history, provided prior to pricing, covers a period of at least 5 years required under Article 22 (1) of the Securitisation Regulation, see Section "HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE DATA" in the Prospectus.</p>
	<p>Given that the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio, namely the factors described in #24, are the same to the overall portfolio for which the above-mentioned historical performance data have been procured, comparability between the securitised portfolio and the Originator's overall portfolio ("substantially similar exposures") is ensured.</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (2)	Verification Report
40	Performance of an <b>asset audit</b> on the basis of a sample and defined audit steps (Agreed upon Procedures, AuP) by an external independent party	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Data</p> <p>The Originator has mandated a qualified and experienced audit firm to perform the asset audit followed by the audit firm. The asset audit and the AuP include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) a verification of the consistency of the information of the underlying exposures selected from the data file with the information shown in the loan contracts and the Originator's IT systems (the "<b>Pool Data Verification</b>");</li> <li>b) a verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the portfolio with the key Eligibility Criteria (the "<b>Eligibility Criteria Verification</b>"); and</li> <li>c) a verification that the data disclosed to investors in the Prospectus in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate (the "<b>Prospectus Data Verification</b>").</li> </ul> <p>The sample drawn for the Pool Data Verification is representative of the securitised portfolio, based on the provisional pool cut dated 31 March 2024. This is ensured by a sufficiently large sample and random selection, applying a 99% confidence level. The final report prepared by the audit firm with regards to the <b>Pool Data Verification</b> has been made available to SVI on 26 August 2024. The final report confirms that the Pool Data Verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found.</p> <p>The <b>Eligibility Criteria Verification</b> was performed by the audit firm based on the provisional pool as of 31 March 2024. The report to be prepared by the audit firm on this subject was received by SVI on 16 August 2024. The final report confirms that the Eligibility Criteria Verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found.</p> <p>The <b>Prospectus Data Verification</b> was performed by the audit firm based on the preliminary pool as of 31 July 2024. The report to be prepared by the audit firm on this subject was received by SVI on 26 August 2024. This verification is based on a</p>

	<p>recalculation in reference to 26 specified stratification tables per Cut-Off Date 31 July 2024. The audit firm carried out the recalculation of each of the 26 stratification tables by comparing the information in the Data Tape (containing loan level data) with the information given in the stratifications. The stratification tables are each part of the Prospectus. As a result of the Prospectus Data Verification, it can be stated that all stratifications tables have been successfully recalculated. The Prospectus Data Verification did not reveal any discrepancies.</p> <p>Please note that, for the purpose of compliance with the requirements of Art. 22 (2) of the Securitisation Regulation, the AuP can be based on either the preliminary or the final pool cut.</p>
--	--

#	Criterion Article 22 (3)	Verification Report
41	<p>Provision of a precise <b>liability cash flow model</b> to the investors prior to pricing by the Originator; "precise" refers to the possibility for the investor to calculate the amortisation rate and, based on this, the pricing of the securitisation position</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Data</p> <p>A CF-Model has been prepared by Intex on behalf of the Originator. The Intex model is provided as web-based tool and can be accessed via <a href="http://www.intex.com">http://www.intex.com</a> (subscription model) under the ticker "RBALG11". SVI has been granted access to the website and the CF-Model for the Red &amp; Black Auto Germany 11 transaction in order to perform the steps necessary to verify the compliance under Article 22 (3) of the Securitisation Regulation. It should be noted that the statements below do reflect the result of SVI's review of the functionality of the CF-Model and can be considered as a check of plausibility, however no assurance can be given that the CF-Model does calculate correctly in each and every scenario.</p> <p>SVI performed a plausibility check of the CF-Model provided by Intex, which reflects the contractual relationships and cash flows from and to the securitised portfolio, Classes A to E Notes, the Originator and the Servicer as well as other parties involved (summarised as senior expenses). A range of different scenarios can be modelled, including but not limited to prepayments, delinquencies, defaults (gross losses), recoveries and senior expenses.</p> <p>The CF-Model has been made available prior to the pricing of the Transaction. The Originator undertakes to provide potential investors with the CF-Model upon request.</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (4)	Verification Report
42	<p>For residential mortgage loan and auto loan/auto leasing portfolios: publication of information on the <b>environmental performance of the assets</b> financed by such</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>For the purpose of compliance with Article 22(4) of the Securitisation Regulation, the Originator has made available such information on environmental performance of the Vehicles which are available to it. The information will be made available as part of the information on the underlying exposures as per Article 7 (1) (a) of the Securitisation Regulation and as applicable.</p>

	<p>underlying exposures (energy performance certificates)</p> <p>Alternatively: publication of the available information related to the <b>principal adverse impacts of the assets</b> financed by such underlying exposures <b>on sustainability factors</b></p>	<p>Information on environmental performance of the Vehicles are set out in Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Stratification Tables 2.25 "Distribution by Emission Class" and 2.26 "Distribution by CO2 Emission (g/km)" of the Prospectus.</p>
--	---	--

#	Criterion Article 22 (5)	Verification Report
43	<p>Compliance with the provisions of Art. 7 of the Securitisation Regulation (regarding <b>Transparency</b>) is the responsibility of the Originator or Sponsor</p>	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal / Due Diligence</p> <p>For the purposes of Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, the Issuer has been designated as the entity responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation and will either fulfil such requirements itself or shall procure that such requirements are complied with on its behalf by the Servicer. In this regard it is confirmed in Section "RISK RETENTION", Subsection "EU Transparency Requirements" of the Prospectus that the Originator as Reporting Entity will fulfil the provisions of Art. 7 of the Securitisation Regulation as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (a): Loan level data have been made available prior to pricing and will be made available on the first Payment Date and then at least on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (b): The relevant Transaction Documents in draft form have been made available prior to pricing and will be made available in final form not later than 15 days after the Closing Date.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (c): Not applicable.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (d): In accordance with the RTS for notification, the notification has been provided to investors in draft form prior to pricing and in final form on or around the Closing Date via the Securitisation Repository.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (e): The Investor Report will be made available for the first time on the first Payment Date and thereafter at least on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (f): Ad hoc announcements will be published as soon as they need to be published under the MAR.</li> <li>• Art. 7 (1) (g): If a "Significant Event" occurs, investors will be informed immediately.</li> </ul>



As a result of the verifications documented above, we confirm to **Bank Deutsches Kraftfahrzeuggewerbe GmbH** that the STS criteria pursuant to Article 19 to 22 of the European Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012 for the transaction "**Red & Black Auto Germany 11**" have been fulfilled.

#### SVI contact details:

##### Michael Osswald

Managing Director  
STS Verification International GmbH  
Mainzer Landstrasse 61  
60329 Frankfurt am Main  
+49 69 8740 344-10  
[michael.osswald@svi-gmbh.com](mailto:michael.osswald@svi-gmbh.com)

##### Marco Pause

Director  
STS Verification International GmbH  
Mainzer Landstrasse 61  
60329 Frankfurt am Main  
+49 69 8740 344-43  
[marco.pause@svi-gmbh.com](mailto:marco.pause@svi-gmbh.com)

##### Salah Maklada

Director  
STS Verification International GmbH  
Mainzer Landstrasse 61  
60329 Frankfurt am Main  
+49 69 8740 344-45  
[salah.maklada@svi-gmbh.com](mailto:salah.maklada@svi-gmbh.com)

##### Mario Venosa

Associate Director  
STS Verification International GmbH  
Mainzer Landstrasse 61  
60329 Frankfurt am Main  
+49 69 8740 344-42  
[mario.venosa@svi-gmbh.com](mailto:mario.venosa@svi-gmbh.com)